IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

FRIDAY, THE NINETEENTH DAY OF NOVEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE A.GOPAL REDDY

WRIT PETITION NO : 21153 of 2004

Between:

P.Nageswara Reddy, s/o Nagi Reddy,
r/o Korrapatipalli Village, Poramamilla Mandal, Kadapa District.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The District Collector, Kadapa, Kadapa District.
2 The Mandal Revenue Officer, Porumamilla Mandal, Kadapa District.
3 Danthika Chennaiah, s/o Venkataiah,
r/o H.No.2-57, Korrapatipalli Village, Poramamilla Mandal, Kadapa District.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue an appropriate writ more particularly one in the nature of writ of mandamus declaring inaction of the 1st respondent to act upon the representation dt.25-10-2004 submitted by the petitioner, another representation dt.1-11-2004 submitted by the village elders and in action of the 2nd respondent to conduct the enquiry with regards to the illegal construction of the building in the temple place, initiate proceedings against the 3rd respondent as illegal unjust, arbitrary and in violation of the provisions of Revenue lands and Articles 14 and 21 of the Constitution of India.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.P.MADHUSUDHAN RAO

Counsel for the Respondents 1& 2: G.P. FOR REVENUE

The Court, at the stage of admission, made the following

ORDER

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Government Pleader for Revenue. At their request the writ petition is taken up for disposal at the stage of admission.

The grievance of the petitioner is that when the third respondent started illegal construction in a vacant site which is being used by the villagers of Korraptipalli Village, Kadapa District for the traditional activities, though the petitioner, along with other villagers, made representations on 25.10.2004 and on 1.11.2004 to the District Collector-first respondent herein to stop the illegal constructions, so far, the same have not been considered.

When the petitioner along with other villagers submitted representations ventilating their grievances to the District Collector-first respondent, he being the District head, has to look into the grievance and redress the same if the allegations found to be correct.

In view of the above, the first respondent is hereby directed to consider the representation of the petitioner dated 1.11.2004 and pass appropriate orders within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order and communicate a copy of the same to the petitioner.

With the above direction, the writ petition is disposed of. No costs.

_____

A. GOPAL REDDY. J.

19th November, 2004

tsr.

To

1 The District Collector, Kadapa, Kadapa District.
2 The Mandal Revenue Officer, Porumamilla Mandal, Kadapa District.

3. Two C.C.s to Government Pleader for Revenue, High Court Buildings, Hyderabad.(OUT)

4. Two C.D. copies.